Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/576,224	ISHIKAWA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JASON L. SAVAGE	1794	

	JASON L. SAVAGE	1794		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 03 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth to ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE r).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO	
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>29 October 2009</u>. A bri the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid disr	nissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause	
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor	· ·		oddoc	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	w),	•		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	responding number of initially rejection	oteu olamio.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		-	_	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 4,6,8 and 10. Claim(s) objected to:		I be entered and an ex	xplanation of	
Claim(s) rejected:				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bure see attached	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
/Jennifer McNeil/				
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794				